CALL TO ORDER
Nancy Stokes called the meeting to order at 9 a.m. She explained “why we do all this work.” Namely, the university does not get federal funding if we are not properly accredited. She said that “…it is better that we “police” ourselves and improve our programs, so that others do not have to do that.”

The 1997-2000 evaluation dealt primarily with planning and budgeting. Ms. Stokes gave two themes, which the evaluation teams have been concentrating on: assessment and student success. Assessment covers everything, not just curriculum and budgeting. Student success also involves all programs and units at the University.

SURVEYS
Gregg Rogers, Director of Institutional Planning, Analysis, Reporting and Data Administration, distributed handouts, with the results of recent faculty surveys administered online. He reported that there was a 24% response rate and that 3% of the part-time faculty responded to the online surveys. He reviewed a few of the results and said that he would have more information broken out by the next meeting. The question was raised whether to do another survey. After much discussion, the committee decided that the format was good but that a decision whether or not to do another survey was tabled until more information is broken out by Mr. Rogers’ office at a future meeting.

SUBCOMMITTEES
Subcommittee chairs gave their reports and are gleaning new information from their interviewing activities.

NEXT MEETING
The next meeting is scheduled for Friday, September 28, 2001, at 9 a.m. in the McCollester Conference Room, Buchtel Hall.